



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/993,502 | 11/27/2001 | Alan McClelland | 4-30233A | 1490 |
| 7590 05/19/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Cell Genesys, Inc. | | | PRIEBE, SCOTT DAVID | |
| Linda Judge, Esq. 500 Forbes Boulevard | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| South San Francisco, CA 94080 | | | 1632 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| N. |
|------|
| र्दे |
| Of |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Communication Re: Appeal | 09/993,502 | MCCLELLAND ET AL. | | | | |
| Останови (пред пред пред пред пред пред пред пред | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Scott D. Priebe | 1632 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| 1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because: | | | | | | |
| (a) it was not timely filed. | | | | | | |
| (b) $\ \square$ the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not | submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(b |). | | | | |
| (c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed. | | | | | | |
| (d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$ | | | | | | |
| (e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no record of a second or a final rejection in this application. | | | | | | |
| (f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on | | | | | | |
| 2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below: | | | | | | |
| (a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192. | | | | | | |
| (b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c). | | | | | | |
| (c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient | (c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$ | | | | | |
| The appeal in this application will be dismissed un brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may be | | | | | | |
| 3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED becau | use: | | | | | |
| (a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 1.17(c) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired. | | | | | | |
| (b) | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ Request for Continued Examination (RCE) u(d) ☐ other: | nder 37 CFR 1.114 was filed or | ı | | | | |
| 4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this applica | ation: | | | | | |
| (a) 🛛 is abandoned because there are no allowed of | claims. | | | | | |
| (b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED. | | | | | | |
| (c) is before the examiner for consideration of the submission and prosecution has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| | Scott D. | Priebe Examiner | | | | |

Art Unit: 1632